www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; SP-12(9): 2128-2130 © 2023 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 11-07-2023

Accepted: 15-08-2023 Dr. Thangadurai R

Assistant Professor, KVK, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. Sivakumar K Associate Professor, KVK, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu, India

**Dr. Vennila MA** Programme Coordinator, KVK, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu, India

## Assessment of feed supplement additive for production improvement in dairy cattle

### Thangadurai R, Sivakumar K and Vennila MA

#### Abstract

Farmers in Dharmapuri mainly depends dairy cow for livelihood. Milk production is the major income generation activity and employment to the rural poor. Cross breeding of dairy cattle boost higher milk production with high biomass forages, 24 dairy cow irrespective of breed and state of lactation, 6 animals per group, milk yield, milk fat, SNF, acidity, protein, lactose and CLR were recorded. The result showed mixture of Sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO<sub>3</sub>)) (SB) and yeast bolus had significantly increased milk production and quantity of daily milk yield and lactose and non significate changes in SNF, acidity, protein than sodium bicarbonate and yeast supplementation alone.

Keywords: Sodium bicarbonate, yeast, milk yield, milk fat and solid non fat

#### Introduction

Feed supplement in dairy cattle could be an excellent toll to enhance digestibility and absorption results in increased milk yield by planned feeding schedule. Poor genetic potential of native cows, increase disease tolerance, parasite disease, unaware about loan services, unavailability of quality feed, low plane of nutrition are the major factor contributing to production loss (Abdi, 2022)<sup>[1]</sup>. Medicated feed additives include antibiotics, antimicrobials, anti-coccidials, antiparasitics, sulfonamidics, hormones, anti-bloat compounds and betaagonists are the various medicated feed additives used for feed supplement. Some other non medicated feed additives include enzymes, phytogenic and prebiotics also used for animal feed supplement. Feed supplement could be useful for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, antibiotic usage and increasing animal health and efficiency. Powder form of Sodium bicarbonate (SB) was supplemented in dairy cattle feed at the beginning of production. It increased feed intake and milk yield in early lactation fiber digestibility, maintained rumen pH (6.6 to 6.8) when added to diets based on corn silage as the forage @ 07  $T_0$  1.5 percent of dry matter. Subsequently SB supplement in feed can maintain normal milk fat when grain over load. Increased milk fat synthesis could be achieved by improving rumen environment for cellulolytic bacteria shifts into rumen results in fermentation of acetic acid production. Rumen pH stabilized by dietary supplementation of sodium bicarbonate (Meschy et al., 2004)<sup>[8]</sup> and increased milk yield and milk fat (Musa et al, 2017)<sup>[9]</sup>. Supplementation of Prebiotics substances can selectively stimulate the growth of favorable microbial species in the gut to the benefit of the dairy cattle. Probiotic favors the growth of normal gut bacteria of the gastro intestinal system of cow which stimulates the digestion, absorption and prevents enteric disorders. It helps to balance the populations and activities of microbes in the Gastro intestinal tract (GI) and provide favorable benefits to the host. In Post weaning of dairy calves probiotic have shown better feed efficiency and weight gain ((Hansunuma et al., 2011)<sup>[4]</sup>. Probiotic are nonpathogenic microbes that occur in nature and function in the gastrointestinal tract of ruminents (Dunne et al., 1999)<sup>[11]</sup> and it can be used as alternative to antibiotics to improve animal health and productivity (Allen et al., 2013)

Minerals are structural elements in animals. They are available in in bones, vitamins, enzymes, amino acids body fluids and body tissues. Physical, chemical and biological function of the body requires mineral involvement. Poor fertility, general emaciation, and low productivity leads to lack of adequate amounts of minerals. Animals should be supplemented with minerals in proper proportions and quantities. This is because the ratio of minerals in feeds determines the degree to which individual ingredients are of use or harm to the animal. Excess intake minerals, can cause poisoning in animals. Calcium, phosphorus, potassium, sodium, chloride, cobalt, iodine, manganese and fluorine are the major mineral of the animals, some mineral

requirements are meet out from forages and naturally occurring earth licks. However mineral Licks and compounded feeds are useful sources of supplemental minerals. That can either be organic/biological or inorganic/geological sources. The sources include green plants, earth licks, and compounded feeds. Common salts and salt licks for animal consumption are useful mineral supplements. Common salt are useful to livestock diet but not fulfill the mineral requirement.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The trial was conducted in 2022 on crossbred cows at Dharmapuri district. Twenty four cows for the trial were selected and divided into 4 groups with 6 cows in all the groups with same management condition. To-Concentrate (control), T<sub>1</sub>- concentrate+ 50 g of sodium bi carbonate (SB), T<sub>2</sub>- concentrate+ 2 bolus of live yeast (YB), T<sub>3</sub>- concentrate+ 50 g of sodium bi carbonate (SB)+ 2 bolus of live yeast (YB). The trial lasted for 30 days, taking 10 for standardization and acceptance of the test according to treatment combination experimental animals. Afterwards 10 days milk yield, milk composition, dung score were recorded. Cows were fed CO5 grass 25 kg/ day+ paddy straw 6 kg/animal/day as per recommendation. All animals were fed commercial concentrate feed and after 10 days of adaptation, milk sample were collected at morning and evening for the period of 10 days to assess milk production (lit/cow/day), milk fat percentage, solid non fat percentage of the milk, incidence of acidosis and economic.

Table 1: Production performance of dairy cows

Particulars	Milk Production (lit/cow/day)	Milk Fat	Milk Solid non fat (%)	Incidence of acidosis
T <sub>0</sub>		3.93±0.20	8.05±0.05	0.33±0.47
T1	9.60±3.08	4.08±0.23	8.07±0.05	0.00±0.00
T <sub>2</sub>	8.17±3.60	$4.00 \pm 0.23$	$8.05 \pm 0.05$	0.33±0.47
T <sub>3</sub>	11.37±3.35	$4.27 \pm 0.11$	8.07±0.05	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
Remarks	S	S	NS	S

S= significant, NS=Non significant

Table 2: Assessment of milk parameter

Treatment	CLR	Acidity	Protein	Lactose
T <sub>0</sub>	1.0380	0.163	3.673	4.027
$T_1$	1.0370	0.162	3.655	4.064
$T_2$	1.0370	0.161	3.682	4.518
T <sub>3</sub>	1.0370	0.163	3.664	4.445
Remarks	NS	NS	NS	S

#### **Results and Discussion**

Assessment of sodium bicarbonate, yeast bolus and mixture of sodium bicarbonate and yeast cultures bolus on milk yield, milk fat and solid nonfat (SNF) percentage was accorded with the findings of Musa *et al.*, 2017 <sup>[9]</sup>. Yeast supplementation is highly effective when diet have low protein and high energy (Masek *et al.*, 2008) <sup>[8]</sup>. Dried yeast supplementation in the diet has not significantly improved milk production and composition of milk (Kalmus *et al* 2009) <sup>[5]</sup>. Present study dairy cow supplement SB, SB+YB and YB significantly increased milk fat percentage than control animal. SB and YB combination significantly increased milk fat percentage. Muthusamy *et al.* 2021 <sup>[10]</sup> observed dietary supplementation of sodium bicarbonate and yeast bolus significantly increased milk fat percentage in dairy animal which concurred with the

present findings but differed with present study of dietary supplementation of sodium bi carbonate non significantly increased milk fat percentage in dairy animal (Bach *et al*, 2018)<sup>[2]</sup>.

Supplementation of sodium bicarbonate non significantly increased lactose and protein percentage but decreased milk fat percentage and no change in milk composition (de ondarza et al., 2010) <sup>[3]</sup>. Yeast supplementation increased milk production by decreased milk fat percentage compared to control group (Maamouri et al., 2014) [6]. Multiple study analysis of dairy cows supplemented with yeast slightly decreased protein percentage and milk fat compared to control (de ondarza et al 2010)<sup>[3]</sup>. Higher milk yield was noticed in  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  compared to control ( $T_0$ ). Among the treatment group T<sub>3</sub> (SB+YB) was noticed significantly higher milk yield compared to other groups. The finding of the in this study was contrary with the findings of Bach et al. (2017)<sup>[2]</sup> He stated that dietary supplementation of sodium bi carbonate and magnesium oxide decreased milk yield per lactation. Higher level of lactose noticed in  $T_1$  than  $T_2$  followed by  $T_3$ compared to control and non-significant CLR, Acidity, protein was noticed T<sub>1</sub> than T<sub>2</sub> followed by T<sub>3</sub> compared to control.

#### Conclusion

In the present study sodium bicarbonate and yeast combination significantly increased milk fat lactose and milk yield without significant changes in Protein, acidity, SNF and CLF, acidity. The result also revealed that higher impact of sodium bicarbonate and yeast combination on lactose and milk production than probiotic and bicarbonate alone, Milk fat tend to be higher in SB+YB treated groups than probiotic and bicarbonate alone on milk yield and lactose than bicarb, fat presented its composition. The results also revealed that sodium bicarbonate and probiotics combination achieved higher milk yield and lactose than probiotic and bicarbonate alone

#### References

- 1. Abdi Hassen Abdula. Contribution of Hydroponic Feed for Livestock Production and Productivity. Science Frontiers. 2022;3(1):1-7.
- 2. Bach A, Guasch I, Elcoso G, Duclos J, Khelil-Arfa H. Modulation of rumen pH by sodium bicarbonate and a blend of different sources of magnesium oxide in lactation dairy cows submitted to a concentrate challenge. Journal of dairy Sciences. 2018;101(11):9779788.
- 3. De Ondarza MB, Sniffen CJ, Dussert L, Chevaux E, Sullivan J, Walker N. Case study: Multiple-Study analysis of the effect of live yeast on milk yield, milk component content and yield, and feed efficiency. The Professional Animal Scientist. 2010 Dec 1;26(6):661-666.
- 4. Hasunuma T, Kawashima K, Nakayama H, Murakami T, Kanagawa H, Ishii T, *et al.* Effect of cello oligosaccharide or synbiotic feeding on growth performance, fecal condition and hormone concentrations in Holstein calves. Animal Science Journal. 2011 Aug;82(4):543-548.
- Kalmus P, Orro T, Waldmann A, Lindjärv R, Kask K. Effect of yeast culture on milk production and metabolic and reproductive performance of early lactation dairy cows. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica. 2009 Dec;51(1):1-7.

- 6. Maamouri O, Selmi H, M'hamdi N. Effects of yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) feed supplement on milk production and its composition in Tunisian Holstein Friesian cows. Sci Agric Bohem. 2014;45(3):170-174.
- Mašek T, Mikulec Ž, Valpotić H, Antunac N, Mikulec N, Stojević Z, et al. Influence of live yeast culture (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) on milk production and composition, and blood biochemistry of grazing dairy ewes during the milking period. Acta Veterinaria Brno. 2008;77(4):547-554.
- Meschy F, Bravo D, Sauvant D. Analyse quantitative des réponses des vaches laitières à l'apport de substances tampon. INRAE Productions Animales. 2004 Mar 20;17(1):11-18.
- Musa AA, Pandey R. Effects of feeding sodium bicarbonate and multi-strain probiotics on milk yield and milk composition of lactating Holstein Frisian crossbred cows. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(6):1912-1916.
- 10. Muthusamy N, Kathirvelan C, Hariharan T, Akila N. Effect of supplementation of sodium bicarbonate and yeast bolus on milk production performance of dairy cows, The Pharma innovation journal. 2021;10(12):180-183.
- 11. Dunne J, Elmore D, Muzikar P. Scaling factors for the rates of production of cosmogenic nuclides for geometric shielding and attenuation at depth on sloped surfaces. Geomorphology. 1999 Feb 1;27(1-2):3-11.
- 12. Hutchison RM, Womelsdorf T, Allen EA, Bandettini PA, Calhoun VD, Corbetta M, *et al.* Dynamic functional connectivity: promise, issues, and interpretations. Neuroimage. 2013 Oct 15;80:360-378.