www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; SP-12(9): 2116-2119 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 11-06-2023 Accepted: 20-07-2023

Stesi S

Centre for Water and Geospatial Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. M Raju

Centre for Water and Geospatial Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. S Pazhanivelan

Centre for Water and Geospatial Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. KP Ragunath

Centre for Water and Geospatial Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. S Selvakumar

Centre for Water and Geospatial Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author: Stesi S Centre for Water and Geospatial Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Impact of fertigation scheduling on growth, yield and yield attributes of cotton under high density planting system

Stesi S, Dr. M Raju, Dr. S Pazhanivelan, Dr. KP Ragunath and Dr. S Selvakumar

Abstract

Field experiments were carried out at Eastern Block Farm, Centre for Water and Geospatial Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during the *winter* and *summer* seasons (2022-2023) to evolve the optimum fertigation scheduling in high density cotton through Crop Growth Curve Nutrition Approach (CGCNA). The experiments were laid out in RBD and replicated thrice. The treatments comprises of different NPK fertilizers levels that are being supplied through fertigation in a definite ratio at different growth stages *viz.*, seedling stage (10% NPK), vegetative stage (20% NPK), square formation (30% NPK), 50% flowering (20% NPK), boll formation and boll development stages ((10% NPK) and its comparative study with drip and conventional irrigation practice with STCR based recommendations of fertilizer NPK and 100% RDF NPK as soil application in the same split ratios (i.e., seedling stage (10% NPK), vegetative stage (20% NPK), square formation (30% NPK), 50% flowering (20% NPK), square formation (30% NPK), 50% flowering (20% NPK), boll formation and boll development stages (10% NPK), vegetative stage (20% NPK), square formation (30% NPK), 50% flowering (20% NPK), boll formation and boll development stages (10% NPK), vegetative stage (20% NPK), square formation (30% NPK), 50% flowering (20% NPK), boll formation and boll development stages (10% NPK) to cotton planted under HDPS. The growth yield and yield attributes were significantly influenced by various levels of fertigation schedules and their combination. The maximum yield of 3568 and 3475 kgha⁻¹ were recorded under 25% enhanced dose of K along with 100% of N&P through fertigation during *winter* and *summer* seasons respectively.

Keywords: Drip fertigation, STCR, HDPS, conventional irrigation, RDF, NPK

Introduction

Cotton (Gossypium sp.) is the major fiber and cash crop, grown worldwide plays a significant role in the agricultural and industrial economy. It is cultivated in the tropical as well as subtropical regions of more than seventy countries of the World. Around 60% of fiber to Indian textiles is from cotton. Its production provide income for more than 250 million people around the world and employs almost 7% of labour in developing countries (CCI, 2022)^[5]. Around 67% of India's cotton is grown on rain-fed areas and 33% on irrigated areas (Ministry of textiles, 2022)^[5]. The recent statistics revealed that the India (13.40 million ha) has more than one third of the World's area (32.94 million ha) under cotton cultivation with a productivity of 487 kg ha⁻¹, which is far below than the World's productivity of 775 kg ha⁻¹ (USDA- FAS, 2020). This clearly signifies the productivity gap prevailing in India for cotton. In India, despite having a larger area dedicated to cotton farming than many other countries in the globe, the seed cotton yield per unit area is very low. The longer duration cultivars requires more number of pickings, which necessitate increased labour requirement as a result, cost of cotton cultivation upsurge especially owing to manual picking and fluctuating prices resulting in lesser realistic income. Under these circumstances, high density planting system (HDPS) have a remarkable relevance under the present scenario of cotton cultivation in India, researchers are developing new compact cotton genotypes which are ideally suited to HDPS. Global cotton production requires over 250 billion tons of water annually. It takes nearly 10,000 litres of water to produce one kilogram of cotton (CWC, 2017)^[4]. Improving irrigation facilities and water harnessing techniques is considered to be imperative for enhancing the production and lowering the dependence on monsoon. Around the major share of the area under cotton is rainfed with poor irrigation facilities, exposing production to monsoon fluctuations (as projected by the ministry of textiles, 2022) ^[7]. Hence there is a need to promote the use of hi-tech irrigation practices like drip irrigation, which is more ecologically viable and ethically sound. Application of water combined with fertilizers can induce drought tolerance and sustain the cotton lint yield under water-saving technology, which is of great importance for the sustainable development of cotton. This research study has been carried out to optimize drip fertigation technique in HDPS for increasing productivity of cotton.

Materials and Methods

a. Location of the experimental field

The field experiments were conducted at Eastern Block Farm, CWGS, TNAU, Coimbatore during winter and summer seasons (2022-2023) to study the impact of fertigation scheduling in high density cotton through crop growth curve nutrition approach. The area is geographically located in Western Agro- Climatic Zone of Tamil Nadu with the coordinates of 11° N latitude, 77° E longitude and an altitude of 426.7 m above mean sea level.

b. Soil Characteristics of the experimental field

The soil characteristics of the experimental site is clay loam in texture, slightly alkaline pH (8.34& 8.12) with low soluble salts (EC = 0.63 & 0.76) and with low in available nitrogen (212 & 207 kg/ha), medium in available phosphorus (15 &17kg/ha) and high in available potassium (395 & 386 kg/ha) during 2022-2023.A total rainfall of 348.0 mm and 287.5mm and mean solar radiation 324.5 cal/cm²/day and 347.7 cal/cm²/day were received during the *winter* and *summer* cropping periods (Sept 2022 – July 2023). The mean maximum and minimum temperature recorded during *winter* season were 30.5 °C and 21.0 °C and *summer* season were 33.7 °C and 23.3 °C, respectively.

c. Design of experiment and treatment details

The experimental trial was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The variety chosen for the study is CO17 zero monopodial variety of cotton. The recommended cultural practices were carried out. The treatments comprises of different levels of RDF NPK (75%, 100% and 125%) that are being supplied through fertigation in a definite ratio at the peak growing stages viz., seedling stage (10% of RDF NPK), vegetative stage (20% of RDF NPK), square formation (30% of RDF NPK), 50% flowering (20% of RDF NPK), boll formation and boll development stages ((10% of RDF NPK) (T1: DF with 75% RDF NPK, T2: DF with 100% RDF NPK, T₃: DF with 125% RDF NPK, T₄: DF with 75% N + 100% P & K of RDF, T₅. DF with 125% N + 100% P & K of RDF. T₆ DF with 75% P + 100% N & K of RDF, T₇:DF with 125% P + 100% N & K of RDF, T₈: DF with 75% K + 100% N & P of RDF, $T_{9:}$ DF with 125% K + 100% N & P) and these combinations were compared with the drip and conventional furrow irrigation practice with STCR based recommendations of fertilizer NPK and 100% RDF NPK as soil application in the same split ratios (i.e., seedling stage (10% NPK), vegetative stage (20% NPK), square formation (30% NPK), 50% flowering (20% NPK), boll formation and boll development stages ((10% NPK), to cotton planted under HDPS. (T₁₀: DI with soil application of STCR based NPK, T₁₁: DI with soil application of 100% RDF NPK, T₁₂: CI with soil application of STCR based NPK, T₁₃: CI with soil application of 100% RDF NPK).

Results and Discussion

The drip fertigation system highly influenced the growth and yield attributes of cotton under HDPS. The biometric observations such as plant height and dry matter production has been recorded in all crop growth stages and results were discussed here under

a. Plant height

Plant height is a direct index to assess the growth and vigour of the plant. Maximum plant height (46.24 cm, 80.55 cm, 116.51 cm, 128.65 cm and 151.54 cm) during winter season and summer seasons (43.56 cm, 79.26 cm, 108.57 cm, 126.33 cm and 148.36 cm) were recorded at30 DAS, 60 DAS, 90 DAS and 120 DAS respectively with the application of 25% enhanced dose of K along with 100% of recommended dose of N and P (T₉) when compared to other treatments (Table1.). Plant height was increased by 24% during winter season and 22% subsequently during summer season of the harvest stages when compared to the control, where conventional irrigation was practiced with soil application of 100% RDF (T₁₃). Arun et al., (2020)^[2] reported that the highest plant height was achieved due to 50% enhancement in the dose of NPK than the normal RDF, which increased the nutrient supply to plants, that might have accelerated the plant height. They also found out that the closer spacing pattern with compact and erect type of variety have attributed more towards attainment of vertical growth, where no sympodial growth was possible. This is in concordance with the findings of Udikeri and Shashidhara (2017)^[14] and Bharathi *et al.* (2018)^[3].

Table 1: Impact of fertigation scheduling on plant height (cm) of cotton under high density planting system

| Treatments | Winter cotton (2022) | | | | | Summer cotton (2023) | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--------|--------|---------|------------|----------------------|--------|--------|---------|------------|
| | 30DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS | At harvest | 30DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS | At harvest |
| T_1 | 32.72 | 49.28 | 82.87 | 102.45 | 131.19 | 30.99 | 49.37 | 80.72 | 100.28 | 130.33 |
| T_2 | 38.46 | 69.66 | 93.18 | 115.99 | 140.80 | 36.41 | 65.70 | 90.26 | 110.64 | 138.14 |
| T ₃ | 44.57 | 80.03 | 106.49 | 126.21 | 149.32 | 42.73 | 75.49 | 102.33 | 123.43 | 147.46 |
| T_4 | 35.62 | 55.35 | 86.40 | 105.20 | 133.75 | 33.54 | 50.23 | 83.61 | 103.35 | 132.16 |
| T_5 | 40.83 | 70.64 | 96.35 | 118.63 | 143.72 | 39.20 | 69.76 | 93.29 | 113.26 | 140.58 |
| T_6 | 36.30 | 63.40 | 90.64 | 110.42 | 138.48 | 34.37 | 60.29 | 89.75 | 108.38 | 135.14 |
| T_7 | 42.44 | 76.28 | 98.52 | 121.32 | 146.31 | 40.82 | 73.44 | 96.48 | 120.67 | 144.32 |
| T_8 | 33.85 | 58.23 | 88.38 | 107.31 | 135.53 | 31.34 | 56.82 | 85.84 | 106.52 | 133.27 |
| T9 | 46.24 | 80.55 | 116.51 | 128.65 | 151.54 | 43.56 | 79.26 | 108.57 | 126.33 | 148.36 |
| T10 | 30.13 | 48.25 | 80.66 | 100.75 | 129.36 | 27.25 | 46.84 | 79.56 | 98.71 | 127.54 |
| T11 | 29.82 | 45.32 | 78.39 | 97.32 | 126.34 | 25.29 | 43.45 | 75.94 | 95.54 | 125.89 |
| T12 | 26.79 | 43.67 | 74.71 | 95.24 | 123.87 | 23.68 | 41.88 | 72.66 | 93.29 | 122.31 |
| T13 | 25.95 | 41.39 | 72.55 | 92.68 | 121.65 | 22.94 | 40.77 | 70.24 | 90.85 | 120.89 |
| S.Ed | 1.23 | 2.77 | 2.94 | 4.05 | 5.67 | 1.46 | 2.45 | 4.10 | 4.79 | 4.97 |
| CD | 2.56 | 5.74 | 6.11 | 8.40 | 11.77 | 3.02 | 5.09 | 8.51 | 9.94 | 10.32 |

| Treatments | Winter cotton (2022) | | | | | Summer cotton (2023) | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--------|---------------|---------|------------|----------------------|--------|---------------|---------|------------|
| | 30DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS | At harvest | 30DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS | At harvest |
| T_1 | 366 | 1614 | 3025 | 6897 | 7034 | 317 | 1498 | 2845 | 6323 | 6526 |
| T_2 | 432 | 1659 | 3955 | 8082 | 8394 | 400 | 1621 | 3647 | 7965 | 8253 |
| T3 | 513 | 1942 | 4645 | 9013 | 9421 | 457 | 1879 | 4136 | 8871 | 8517 |
| T_4 | 394 | 1623 | 3260 | 7216 | 7432 | 321 | 1535 | 3066 | 7015 | 7325 |
| T5 | 456 | 1758 | 4268 | 8432 | 8564 | 427 | 1643 | 3895 | 8250 | 8338 |
| T ₆ | 425 | 1672 | 3967 | 7856 | 8016 | 387 | 1593 | 3423 | 7456 | 7543 |
| T ₇ | 497 | 1794 | 4426 | 8865 | 9027 | 445 | 1685 | 4054 | 8531 | 8756 |
| T_8 | 405 | 1658 | 3573 | 7549 | 7764 | 353 | 1572 | 3125 | 7342 | 7451 |
| T 9 | 525 | 2123 | 4873 | 9324 | 9568 | 505 | 2056 | 4546 | 9064 | 9356 |
| T_{10} | 344 | 1581 | 2765 | 6546 | 6343 | 287 | 1477 | 2543 | 6457 | 6632 |
| T11 | 325 | 1566 | 2472 | 6365 | 6578 | 255 | 1469 | 2165 | 6169 | 6457 |
| T ₁₂ | 305 | 1509 | 2108 | 5764 | 6213 | 235 | 1418 | 2097 | 5675 | 5876 |
| T ₁₃ | 286 | 1464 | 1989 | 5652 | 6001 | 224 | 1365 | 1843 | 5438 | 5649 |
| S.Ed | 15 | 65 | 119 | 288 | 380 | 10 | 70 | 138 | 239 | 279 |
| CD | 31 | 134 | 245 | 596 | 784 | 20 | 145 | 285 | 493 | 596 |

Table 2: Impact of fertigation scheduling on total dry matter production (kg ha⁻¹) of cotton under high density planting system

b. Dry Matter Production

Cotton is an exhaustive crop and manifest vigorous growth and dry matter production to the enhanced dose of fertilizers. The results of the experiment revealed that the DMP of cotton showing a linear increasing pattern at successive growth stages with increased fertilizers levels supplied through drip fertigation than the direct soil application of 100% of recommended dose of fertilizers, where followed the conventional irrigation practice (Table 2.). Significantly higher DMP were recorded at all the growth stages and at harvests stage (525, 2123, 4873, 9324, 9568 kg ha⁻¹ and 505, 2056, 4546, 9064, 9356kg ha⁻¹) under T₉ treatment (DF with 125% K + 100% N & P) followed by T₃ (DF with 125% RDF NPK) during both winter and summer seasons, respectively. The lower DMP was noticed where direct application of 100% RDF under conventional irrigation was practiced (T_{13}) during both the seasons of successive years. (286, 464, 1989,5652, 6001kg ha⁻¹ and 224,1365, 1843, 5438 and 5649 kg ha-1). The enhanced dose of NPK fertilizers results in a steady fast supply of nutrients which in turn resulted in better accumulation of more photosynthates to cotton plants, may be the probable reason for the higher performance in terms of DMP. The results are in line with the findings of Sisodia and Khamparia (2007)^[12] and Parihar et al. (2018)^[10]. It was also noticed that the leaf area index is maximum in plants which were grown under HDPS system when compared to plants grown under wider spacing, this because of the better light

interception and better leaf area development, which in turn resulted in better accumulation of phyotosynthates, which might also resulted in increased DMP. These results were in agreement with the findings of Kumar *et al.* (2020)^[9].

c. Yield and yield attributes

Seed cotton yield is the reflection of many of the yield attributing parameters. The yield attributes like no. of fruiting points per plants, no. of bolls per plants and boll setting percentage were significantly influenced by the fertigation levels. Among the treatments application of 25% enhanced dose of K along with the application of 100% N and P (T₉) recorded the higher number of fruiting points, number of bolls per plants and boll setting percentage during both winter and summer seasons respectively (104.29, 53.55, 51.38) and which was followed by the treatment T₃ (DF with 125% RDF NPK) but without any significant disparity between them (101.60, 50.21, 49.48) as per the Table 3. The lowest yield attributes were recorded under the conventional irrigation practiced with soil application of 100% RDF NPK (T_{13}) . This might be due to the fact that the optimum spacing with enhanced fertilizer levels could probably allow entry of more sunlight and air which could lead to increased auxin synthesis and hence, resulting in more boll retention than the other treatments. These results are in accordance with the findings of Parlawar et al., (2017)^[11].

 Table 3: Impact of drip fertigation on number of no. of fruiting points per plant, no. of bolls per plant, boll setting percentage of cotton under high density planting system

| | Wi | nter cotton (2022) | | Summer cotton (2023) | | | |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| Treatments | No. of fruiting points | No. of bolls per | Boll setting | No. of fruiting points per | No. of bolls per | Boll setting | |
| | per plant | plant | percentage | plant | plant | percentage | |
| T1 | 87.16 | 37.66 | 43.24 | 81.71 | 35.74 | 43.86 | |
| T_2 | 95.61 | 45.08 | 47.33 | 86.67 | 39.26 | 45.34 | |
| T3 | 102.38 | 50.36 | 49.20 | 95.45 | 45.41 | 47.56 | |
| T4 | 90.23 | 38.97 | 43.18 | 82.69 | 35.53 | 43.11 | |
| T ₅ | 97.56 | 46.32 | 47.55 | 89.99 | 40.83 | 45.37 | |
| T ₆ | 93.87 | 43.28 | 46.30 | 86.23 | 38.56 | 44.72 | |
| T ₇ | 100.92 | 48.65 | 48.22 | 93.35 | 42.33 | 45.51 | |
| T ₈ | 90.54 | 40.18 | 44.55 | 84.38 | 36.88 | 43.76 | |
| T9 | 104.29 | 53.55 | 51.38 | 101.60 | 50.21 | 49.48 | |
| T ₁₀ | 82.63 | 35.68 | 43.18 | 81.00 | 34.23 | 42.67 | |
| T ₁₁ | 82.44 | 34.65 | 42.11 | 82.16 | 34.05 | 41.47 | |
| T ₁₂ | 81.78 | 34.23 | 42.01 | 80.57 | 33.21 | 41.50 | |
| T ₁₃ | 80.65 | 33.21 | 41.35 | 79.83 | 32.56 | 41.01 | |
| S.Ed | 4.23 | 2.09 | 2.96 | 4.48 | 1.61 | 2.94 | |
| CD | 8.74 | 4.32 | 6.10 | 9.24 | 3.32 | 6.06 | |

 Table 4: Impact of fertigation scheduling onseed cotton yield (kg ha⁻¹), stalk yield (kg ha⁻¹) and harvest Index of cotton under high density planting system

| Treatments | Win | ter cotton (2022 |) | Summer cotton (2023) | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| | Seed cotton yield | Stalk yield | Harvest Index | Seed cotton yield | Stalk yield | Harvest Index | |
| T_1 | 2667 | 4989 | 0.35 | 2442 | 4457 | 0.35 | |
| T ₂ | 3252 | 5463 | 0.38 | 2784 | 4954 | 0.36 | |
| T3 | 3354 | 5711 | 0.37 | 3354 | 5465 | 0.38 | |
| T_4 | 2708 | 5078 | 0.35 | 2456 | 4554 | 0.35 | |
| T5 | 3296 | 5580 | 0.37 | 2888 | 4988 | 0.37 | |
| T ₆ | 3041 | 5437 | 0.36 | 2657 | 4850 | 0.36 | |
| T 7 | 3392 | 5624 | 0.38 | 3225 | 5379 | 0.37 | |
| T8 | 2896 | 5324 | 0.35 | 2614 | 4572 | 0.36 | |
| T9 | 3568 | 5766 | 0.39 | 3475 | 5486 | 0.39 | |
| T10 | 2581 | 4877 | 0.35 | 2283 | 4324 | 0.35 | |
| T11 | 2432 | 4754 | 0.34 | 2234 | 4266 | 0.34 | |
| T ₁₂ | 2267 | 4456 | 0.34 | 2123 | 4210 | 0.34 | |
| T ₁₃ | 2134 | 4325 | 0.33 | 2045 | 4185 | 0.33 | |
| S.Ed | 91 | 390 | 0.02 | 90 | 367 | 0.02 | |
| CD (p=0.05) | 188 | 806 | 0.04 | 186 | 758 | 0.04 | |

The data on the table 4. clearly depicts that the drip fertigation of 25% enhanced dose of K along with 100% N and P (T₉) recorded significantly higher seed cotton yield for both winter and summer seasons. (3568 kg ha⁻¹ and 3475 kg ha⁻¹) and it was found to be on par with the treatment where 25% enhanced dose of NPK applied through drip fertigation (T_3) . The lowest values were recorded for the 100% RDF NPK applied through conventional irrigation practice (2134 kg ha⁻¹ and 2045 kg ha⁻¹). Among the NPK nutrients, the requirement of K₂O was 1.09 times higher than N and 2.20 times higher than P₂O₅ to produce one quintal of seed cotton for rainfed transgenic cotton on black calcareous soil. (AICRP report, 2011)^[1]. Similar findings of affinity of cotton more towards potassium were reported by Katherine et al., (2013) [8], SubbaRao and Rathore (2003)^[13] and Jagvir Singh and Blaise $(2000)^{[6]}$.

Conclusion

The results of the experiment revealed that the application of enhanced dose of fertilizers and fertigation scheduling at the peak growth stages in split ratios had a significant influence over the growth, yield and yield attributes of cotton under HDPS during both *winter* and *summer* seasons of 2022-2023. The optimized fertigation scheduling practiced on cotton planted under HDPS system had increase the yield to the tune of 67% and 69% during the *winter* and *summer* seasons of 2022-2023. Based on the research outcome, it is concluded that drip fertigation of 25% enhanced dose of K along with 100% N and P (T₉) is the optimum drip fertigation system for higher cotton yield under HDPS.

Acknowledgement

The authors were greatly acknowledged to the Professor and Head, Department of Agronomy, Tamil Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641003, India, for providing the lab and field facilities during the course of study.

Reference

- 1. AICRP Report. In: Progress report of the All India Coordinated Research Project for Investigation on Soil Test Crop Response Correlation, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore; c2011. p. 28-44.
- Arun, A, Raghavan T, Guruswamy A, Saravana Pandian P, Gunasekharan M. Influence of crop geometry and nutrient levels on growth and seed cotton yield of compact cotton cv. Co 17. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(6):2601-2606.
- 3. Bharathi BS, Lakshmi, Kumari SR. Evaluation of

compact cultures under high density planting system with different nutrient levels in rainfed vertisols Int. J Chem. Stud. 2018;6(5):3371-3373.

- 4. Central Water Commission. Central Water Commission. Problems of salination of land in coastal areas of India and suitable protection measures; c2017. p. 346.
- 5. Cotton Corporation of India, Ministry of Textiles Government of India; c2022
- 6. Singh J, Blaise D. Nutrient management in rainfed cotton. CICR technical bulletin no: 6. Central Institute for Cotton Research, Nagpur; c2000.
- 7. Government of India Ministry of Textiles. Report of the Expert Committee on Technical Textiles; c2022. p. 1.
- 8. Katharine, Praveena S, Santhi R, Maragatham S, Natesan R, Ravikumar V, et al. Soil test based fertilizer prescriptions through inductive cum targeted yield model for transgenic cotton on Inceptisol. Journal of Agriculture and Vetinary science. 2013 Nov-Dec;6(5):36-44.
- Kumar, Mahadevan, Premalatha N, Mahalingam L, Sakthivel N, Senguttuvan K, et al. High Density Planting System of Cotton in India: Status and Breeding Strategies. In Plant Breeding-Current and Future Views. IntechOpen; c2020.
- Parihar LB, Rathod TH, Paslawar AN, Kahate NS. Effect of High Density Planting System (HDPS) and Genotypes on Growth Parameters and Yield Contributing Traits in Upland Cotton. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2018;7(12):2291-2297.
- Parlawar ND, Jiotode DJ, Khawle VS, Kubde KJ, Puri PD. Effect of Planting Geometry and Varieties on Morpho-Physiological Parameters and Yield of Cotton. IJRBAT. 2017;5(2):429-436.
- 12. Sisodia R, Khamparia S. American cotton varieties as influenced by plant densities and fertility levels under rainfed conditions. Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 2007;21:35-40.
- SubbaRao A, Rathore A. In: 17th Progress report of the All India Coordinated Research Project forInvestigation on Soil Test Crop Response Correlation, Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal; c2003. p. 14-15.
- Udikeri M, Shashidhara GB. Performance of compact cotton genotypes under high density planting system at different fertilizer levels. J Farm Sci. 2017;30(4):460-466.
- 15. United States Department of Agriculture: Foreign Agricultural Service: Cotton: World Markets and Trade, Global Market Analysis; c2020 Sept.