www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# **The Pharma Innovation**



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; SP-12(9): 1705-1708 © 2023 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 28-06-2023 Accepted: 01-08-2023

#### Anduri Sravani

Department of Agricultural Microbiology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

#### **CR Patil**

Department of Agricultural Microbiology, Institute of Organic Farming, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Anduri Sravani Department of Agricultural Microbiology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

# Isolation and characterization of *Pseudomonas* fluorescens from forest soils of Uttar Karnataka with high regeneration

### Anduri Sravani and CR Patil

#### Abstract

Generally, forests represent largely productive or regenerative habitats that act as carbon storage systems. In such habitats the organic matter of soil is formed as a result of decay of biomass residue along with the carbon that is deposited in the rhizosphere. Forests represent a high position of spatial diversity. Fungi, bacteria and archaea inhabit various forests. *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* species are well known for effectively solubilizing nutrients that limit plant growth like phosphate and potassium which ultimately improved plant growth. These bacteria colonize the plant rhizosphere and increase the plant growth by various mechanisms. The use of beneficial microorganisms as biofertilizers is increasing in agriculture and also helps to replace or reduce chemical-based fertilizers as well as pesticides usage. In the present study, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* were isolated and characterized from the soil samples of naturally regenerative ecosystems like forests in order to identify fluorescent pseudomonad isolates which are well known as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria.

Keywords: Biocontrol agents, biofertilizers, PGPR, regenerative ecosystems, Rhizodeposited carbon

#### 1. Introduction

Elliott and Lynch (1994)<sup>[4]</sup> referred to healthy soil as a stable soil with tolerance to stress, rich in biodiversity and the maximum level of internal cycling of nutrients. Similarly, ecosystem stability is linked to biodiversity and adaptability to stress. The definition of soil resilience is tolerance to stress, buffering capacity and the ability to regenerate. Generally, forests represent largely productive or regenerative habitats that act as carbon storage systems. In such habitats the organic matter of soil is formed as a result of decay of biomass residue along with the carbon that is deposited in the rhizosphere. Forests represent a high position of spatial diversity. Fungi, bacteria and archaea inhabit various forests. Haas and Defago (2005) [6] reported that a group of typical, non-pathogenic saprophytes that colonise soil, water and plant surfaces are referred to as *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. They are rod-shaped, Gram -ve bacteria that secretes fluorescein, a soluble greenish fluorescent pigment, as its name suggests, especially when there is little iron available. Except for few strains that can use  $NO_3$  as an electron acceptor instead of O<sub>2</sub>, it is an obligate aerobe. Fluorescent Pseudomonas is a member of the PGPR, which is important for promoting plant development, inducing systemic resistance and biologically controlling pathogens. The ability of antibiotics and siderophores generated by bacteria to control phytopathogens could be very important.

*Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains are among the commercially available biocontrol organisms that are frequently employed in agriculture to reduce soil-borne plant diseases and improve plant health and productivity. According to Spaepen *et al.* (2007) <sup>[10]</sup>, indole-3-acetic acid, an active plant hormone in soil is secreted by beneficial bacteria in the soil such as *Bacillus* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp., and it has been shown to have promising characteristics improving soil quality. By supplying nutrients, soil beneficial bacteria encourage fertility in the rhizosphere, which is a significant process. According to Chaudhary *et al.* (2021) <sup>[3]</sup>, *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* species are well known for effectively solubilizing nutrients that limit plant growth like phosphate and potassium which ultimately improved plant growth. Therefore, the present investigation involved the isolation as well as characterization of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* from forest soils of Uttar Karnataka with high regeneration.

2. Materials and Methods2.1 Soil sampling

The soil samples from rhizosphere were collected from different forest types like evergreen, deciduous and degraded patches of natural forests of Haliyal, Dandeli, Gutti, Joida, Mirjan-Ramnagar road regions of Karnataka. Later, the top litter layer of the soil (two cm) was removed and then the soil samples were collected, packed in fresh polythene covers, labeled and then stored at 4 °C to maintain the viability of organisms.

# 2.2 Isolation and purification of Pseudomonas fluorescens

The *Pseudomonas fluorescens* spp. were isolated from forests soils by serial dilution & plate count technique. The 0.1 ml of soil suspension from  $10^{-4}$  dilution was spread onto King's B media plates & incubated at  $28\pm2$  °C for 48 hours. Later, plates were observed for the fluorescence under UV illuminator. Such colonies were purified by four-way streaking. The single colonies from four-way streaked plates were inoculated to King's B agar slants & stored for further studies.

#### 2.3 Morphological Characterization

Morphological characteristics of 25 fluorescent pseudomonad isolates were done based on cell shape, colour and Gram reaction (Graham and Parker, 1964)<sup>[5]</sup>.

#### 2.4 Biochemical Characterization

The biochemical characteristics of the fluorescent pseudomonad isolates were done according to the standard protocols.

#### 2.4.1 Starch Hydrolysis

Overnight grown cultures in broth (10  $\mu$ l) were spotted on to sterile starch agar plates & incubated at 28±2 °C for 24 to 48 hours. Later, the plates were flooded with iodine solution and the appearance of transparent zone around the colony was considered as +ve reaction for the test.

#### 2.4.2 Indole Production

Sulfide indole motility agar slants were inoculated with the overnight grown cultures of the fluorescent pseudomonad isolates & incubated at  $28\pm2$  °C for 48 hours. Later, ten drops of Kovac's indole reagent were added to each tube and observed for red colour. Appearance of red colour were considered as +ve for indole test (MacWilliams, 2009)<sup>[7]</sup>.

#### 2.4.3 Catalase Test

Pure cultures of fluorescent pseudomonad isolates were taken on a clean glass & one or two drops of 30 per cent H2O2 was added. Formation of effervescence is considered as positive for catalase test.

#### 2.4.4 Oxidase Test

A filter paper was dipped in 1 per cent Kovacs oxidase reagent & let to dry. Fresh pure cultures of fluorescent pseudomonad isolates were rubbed onto treated filter paper and checked for variations in colour. When the colour changes to dark purple within 5 to 10 seconds the test isolates were considered oxidase +ve (Cappuccino & Sherman, 1996)<sup>[2]</sup>.

#### 2.4.5 Gelatin liquefaction

The overnight pure cultures of the fluorescent pseudomonad isolates were inoculated to sterilized nutrient gelatin deep tubes and incubated at  $28\pm2$  °C for 24 hours. Later, they were

refrigerated for 30 minutes at 4 °C. After refrigeration, the isolates that showed liquification of gelatin were considered +ve for the test and the ones that solidified gelatin were -ve for the test (Bradbury, 1970) <sup>[1]</sup>.

#### 2.4.6 Methyl Red Test

Test tubes containing autoclaved glucose phosphate broth were inoculated with the fluorescent pseudomonad cultures and incubated at  $28\pm2$  <sup>0</sup>C for 48 hours. Later, 5-6 drops of methyl red indicator was added and appearance of red colour production was considered positive. Whereas yellow colour production was considered as –ve for the test (Seeley & Vandemark, 1981) <sup>[9]</sup>.

#### 2.4.7 Voges Prausker's Test

Test tubes containing autoclaved glucose phosphate broth were inoculated with the fluorescent pseudomonad cultures and incubated at  $35\pm2$  <sup>0</sup>C for 48 hours. Later, 10 drops of Baritt's reagent was added & appearance of pink colour in the broth was considered as +ve for the test (Seeley & Vandemark, 1981) <sup>[9]</sup>.

#### 2.4.8 Citrate Utilization

Simmon's citrate agar slants were inoculated with overnight cultures & incubated at  $28\pm2$  <sup>0</sup>C for 24 hours. Colour change from green to blue were taken as +ve for the test (Seeley & Vandemark, 1981) <sup>[9]</sup>.

# 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1 Collection of soil samples from forests

The soil samples were collected from different forests types like evergreen, deciduous and degraded patches of natural forest of Uttar Karnataka in polyethylene cover. The details of the sources were recorded using the GPS systems and presented in Table 1.

#### 3.2 Morphological and Biochemical Characterization

A total of 25 *Pseudomonas fluorescens* were isolated from different forest types like evergreen, deciduous and degraded patches of Uttar Karnataka. The bacterial colonies exhibiting fluorescence on King's B medium were isolated, sub-cultured and maintained on the nutrient agar slants for further experiments. The purified isolates thus obtained were characterized according to the specific characters described in Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology.

The 25 Pseudomonas fluorescens isolates were morphologically characterized based on the colony shape, cell shape, colour, elevation, surface, pigmentation and Gram reaction. For all the isolates the gram reaction was negative and the shape of the cell was rod. The shape of the colony of the isolates were found to be round, irregular, colour was found to be white, dull white, off white and yellowish green. Elevation was found to be convex, flat and raised. Surface was smooth, shiny and mucoid. Margin was regular and irregular. Pigmentation varied from bluish green, light green to yellowish green. The details of morphological studies are presented in table 2.

Later, these 25 isolates were biochemically characterized by conducting the biochemical tests. The results depicted that all 25 isolates were positive for citrate utilization test and negative for VP test. Whereas one isolate showed positive result for indole test, 13 isolates were positive for MR test, 20 isolates were positive for catalase test, 21 isolates were

positive for oxidase test, starch hydrolysis and 5 isolates were positive for gelatin hydrolysis test (Table 3).

Our results agree with Manasa et al. (2017)<sup>[8]</sup> who isolated and characterized Pseudomonas fluorescens isolates from different rhizosphere soils of Telangana. Morphological identification of Pseudomonas fluorescens isolates were done by observing colony shape, size, colour, cell shape, colour and Gram reaction. The isolates were small and medium sized along with smooth and shining surface. Among fifteen isolates, six isolates were yellowish green in colour with light green pigmentation and other nine isolates were dull white in colour without any pigmentation. They were Gram -ve, small rods with no sporulation. Biochemical and physiological characterization of Pseudomonas fluorescens isolates showed +ve results for catalase as well as oxidase tests while they were -ve for Voges Prausker's test. In case of methyl red test MP-1, MP-2, RGP-1, RP-1, RP-4, SFP-1 and SFP-2 isolates were positive. Among fifteen isolates only twelve were

positive for starch hydrolysis test, only three isolates were for gelatin liquefaction, twelve were positive for citrate utilization, only five isolates were positive for  $H_2S$  test, denitrification test.

Suman *et al.* (2015) <sup>[11]</sup> isolated, characterized native *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates from Rangareddy district, Telangana and studied their colony shape, colour, size, cell shape, colour, Gram reaction, pigmentation and formation of spore. The results showed that all the isolates developed were small to medium in size, smooth shining colonies, convex elevation, Gram -ve rods with-out any spore formation. The pure cultures of the isolates were observed under UV light for fluorescence and the results showed yellowish green, dark green, bluish green fluorescence and most of the isolates showed light green fluorescence. The results are in line with Wasi *et al.* (2010) <sup>[12]</sup> who isolated strain SM1 and characterized morphologically, biochemically and tentatively identified as *Pseudomonas fluorescens*.

**Table 1:** Details of soil samples collected from various forest types

| T                    | E           |                                | GPS coord  | Pseudomonas fluorescens isolate code |                 |                       |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Location             | Forest type | Latitude (N) Longitude (E) Ele |            |                                      |                 | Elevation (Above msl) |
| Haliyal              | Deciduous   | 15°18'29"                      | 74°41'43"  | 483                                  | HFPI-20, 21, 22 |                       |
| Haliyal              | Deciduous   | 15°18'29" 74°41'43"            |            | 489                                  | HFPI-23, 24, 25 |                       |
| Mirjan-Ramnagar road | Degraded    | 15°18'28"                      | 74°41'43"  | 486                                  | MFPI-15, 16, 17 |                       |
| Mirjan-Ramnagar road | Degraded    | 15°18'29"                      | 74°41'43"  | 487                                  | MFPI-18, 19     |                       |
| Dandeli              | Deciduous   | 15°12'22"                      | 74°38'40"  | 471                                  | DFPI-11, 12     |                       |
| Dandeli              | Deciduous   | 15°12'22"                      | 78°38'40"  | 472                                  | DFPI-13, 14     |                       |
| Gutti                | Deciduous   | 15°8'57"                       | 74°40'59"  | 461                                  | GFPI-6, 7, 8    |                       |
| Gutti                | Deciduous   | 15°8'58"                       | 74°48'58"  | 452                                  | GFPI-9, 10      |                       |
| Joida                | Evergreen   | 15°9'54"                       | 74°28'34'' | 625                                  | JFPI-1          |                       |
| Joida                | Evergreen   | 15°9'54"                       | 74°28'34'' | 581                                  | JFPI-2          |                       |
| Joida                | Evergreen   | 15°9'55"                       | 74°28'34"  | 572                                  | JFPI-3          |                       |
| Joida                | Evergreen   | 15°9'45"                       | 74°28'33"  | 572                                  | JFPI-4, 5       |                       |

**Note:** GPS: Global Positioning System

Table 2: Morphological characteristics of fluorescent pseudomonad isolates

| Sl. No. | Isolate code | Colony shape | Colour          | Elevation | Surface        | Margin    | Pigmentation    | Gram reaction | Shape |
|---------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-------|
| 1       | JFPI-1       | Round        | Dull white      | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Regular   | Bluish green    | -             | Rod   |
| 2       | JFPI-2       | Round        | Yellowish green | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Regular   | Light green     | -             | Rod   |
| 3       | JFPI-3       | Round        | White           | Flat      | Smooth         | Irregular | Bluish green    | -             | Rod   |
| 4       | JFPI-4       | Round        | Yellowish green | Raised    | Smooth, mucoid | Regular   | light green     | -             | Rod   |
| 5       | JFPI-5       | Round        | Off white       | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Regular   | Light green     | -             | Rod   |
| 6       | GFPI-6       | Irregular    | Yellowish green | Convex    | Smooth         | Irregular | Yellowish green | -             | Rod   |
| 7       | GFPI-7       | Round        | Yellowish green | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Regular   | Yellowish green | -             | Rod   |
| 8       | GFPI-8       | Irregular    | Yellowish green | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Regular   | Yellowish green | -             | Rod   |
| 9       | GFPI-9       | Irregular    | Yellowish green | Flat      | Smooth         | Regular   | Yellowish green | -             | Rod   |
| 10      | GFPI-10      | Round        | Yellowish green | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Irregular | Yellowish green | -             | Rod   |
| 11      | DFPI-11      | Round        | Yellowish green | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Regular   | Light green     | -             | Rod   |
| 12      | DFPI-12      | Irregular    | White           | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Regular   | Light green     | -             | Rod   |
| 13      | DFPI-13      | Round        | Off white       | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Regular   | Light green     | -             | Rod   |
| 14      | DFPI-14      | Round        | Yellowish green | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Regular   | Light green     | -             | Rod   |
| 15      | MFPI-15      | Irregular    | Dull white      | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Irregular | yellowish green | -             | Rod   |
| 16      | MFPI-16      | Round        | Off white       | Flat      | Smooth         | Irregular | Light green     | -             | Rod   |
| 17      | MFPI-17      | Round        | White           | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Regular   | yellowish green | -             | Rod   |
| 18      | MFPI-18      | Round        | Dull white      | Raised    | Smooth, mucoid | Regular   | Yellowish green | -             | Rod   |
| 19      | MFPI-19      | Irregular    | Off white       | Flat      | Smooth         | Irregular | Bluish grren    | -             | Rod   |
| 20      | HFPI-20      | Irregular    | White           | Convex    | Smooth, shiny  | Regular   | yellowish green | -             | Rod   |
| 21      | HFPI-21      | Round        | Yellowish green | Convex    | Smooth         | Irregular | Light green     | -             | Rod   |
| 22      | HFPI-22      | Round        | Yellowish green | Convex    | Smooth, Shiny  | Regular   | yellowish green | -             | Rod   |
| 23      | HFPI-23      | Round        | Yellowish green | Raised    | Smooth, mucoid | Regular   | Light green     | -             | Rod   |
| 24      | HFPI-24      | Round        | White           | Convex    | Smooth, Shiny  | Regular   | Yellowish green | -             | Rod   |
| 25      | HFPI-25      | Round        | Yellowish green | Convex    | Smooth, Shiny  | Regular   | Light green     | -             | Rod   |

| Sl. No. | Isolate code | Indole test | MR test | VP test | Catalase test | Oxidase test | Citrate utilization | Starch hydrolysis | Gelatin hydrolysis |
|---------|--------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 1       | JFPI-1       | -           | -       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | +                  |
| 2       | JFPI-2       | -           | +       | -       | -             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 3       | JFPI-3       | -           | +       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 4       | JFPI-4       | -           | -       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 5       | JFPI-5       | -           | -       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 6       | GFPI-6       | -           | +       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 7       | GFPI-7       | -           | +       | -       | +             | -            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 8       | GFPI-8       | -           | +       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | -                 | +                  |
| 9       | GFPI-9       | -           | -       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 10      | GFPI-10      | -           | +       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 11      | DFPI-11      | +           | +       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | -                 | -                  |
| 12      | DFPI-12      | -           | -       | -       | -             | -            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 13      | DFPI-13      | -           | -       | -       | -             | +            | +                   | +                 | +                  |
| 14      | DFPI-14      | -           | -       | -       | +             | -            | +                   | -                 | -                  |
| 15      | MFPI-15      | -           | -       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 16      | MFPI-16      | -           | -       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 17      | MFPI-17      | -           | +       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 18      | MFPI-18      | -           | +       | -       | +             | -            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 19      | MFPI-19      | -           | +       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | -                 | +                  |
| 20      | HFPI-20      | -           | -       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | +                  |
| 21      | HFPI-21      | -           | +       | -       | -             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 22      | HFPI-22      | -           | +       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 23      | HFPI-23      | -           | -       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 24      | HFPI-24      | -           | -       | -       | +             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |
| 25      | HFPI-25      | -           | +       | -       | -             | +            | +                   | +                 | -                  |

#### 4. Conclusion

These isolates should be further screened for their regenerative traits by conducting pot experiment and then by field experiments in order to know their role in regeneration of soil fertility and health. The current investigation data revealed that *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates JFPI-2 and GFPI-9 have the ability to improve soil health as well as plant growth which should be studied further by conducting pot and field experiments.

#### 5. References

- 1. Bradbury MJ. A biochemical test for crown gall bacteria. Nature. 1970;197:406-407.
- 2. Cappuccino JC, Sherman N. Microbiology: A Laboratory Manual. New York; c1996. p. 125-179.
- Chaudhary P, Sharma A, Chaudhary A, Khati P, Gangola S, Maithani D, *et al.* Illumina based high throughput analysis of microbial diversity of rhizospheric soil of maize infested with nano compounds and *Bacillus* sp. Applied Soil Ecology. 2021;159:103836.
- Elliott LF, Lynch JM. Biodiversity and soil resilience. In: Soil Resilience and Sustainable Land Use (eds. D.). Greenland, Szabolcs I, Wllingford: CAB International, 1994, 353-364.
- 5. Graham PH, Parker CA. Diagnostic features in the characterization of the root nodule bacteria of legumes. Plant and Soil. 1964;20:383-396.
- 6. Haas D, Defago G. Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2005;3:307–319.
- 7. MacWilliams M. Indole test protocol. American Society for Microbiology; c2009. p. 5-10.
- Manasa K, Subhash Reddy R, Triveni S. Isolation and characterization of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates from different rhizosphere soils of Telangana. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(3):224-229.
- 9. Seeley M, Vandermark S. Isolation and identification of

some Ppfms bacterial isolates and their potentiality as biofertilizers and biocontrol agents to *Rhizoctonia solani*. Journal of Agricultural Chemistry and Biotechnology. 1981;4:79-85.

- Spaepen S, Vanderleyden J, Remans R. Indole-3-acetic acid in microbial and microorganism-plant signaling. FEMS microbiology reviews. 2007;31(4):425-448.
- Suman B, Gopal AV, Reddy RS, Triveni S. Isolation and characterization of native *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates from rangareddy district, Telangana. Progressive Research – An International Journal Society for Scientific Development. 2015;10(3):1865-1868.
- Wasi S, Tabrez S, Ahmad M. Isolation and Characterization of a *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Strain Tolerant to Major Indian Water Pollutants. Journal of Bioremediation and Biodegradation. 2010;1(1):1000101.